# Exploring Gender Bias and Algorithm Transparency: Ethical Considerations of AI in HRM

**Jiaxing Du**

Charles Darwin University

**Abstract:** *Opportunities and challenges are introduced by the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into Human Resource Management (HRM). The paragraph discusses the ethical implications of AI applications in HRM, focusing on gender bias and algorithm transparency. It explores how AI-driven decision-making in HRM perpetuates gender bias, the importance of transparent algorithms for trust and accountability, and the role of regulatory frameworks in safeguarding ethical standards. The paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the ethical landscape of AI in HRM and offers policy recommendations to mitigate bias and enhance transparency.*

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has increasingly become a cornerstone in various business operations, encompassing Human Resource Management (HRM). The assimilation of AI into HRM practices is poised to augment efficiency, expedite decision-making, and facilitate the management of intricate tasks ("Using Artificial Intelligence in Human Resource Management," 2023; Bujold et al., 2023). Nonetheless, this technological evolution carries with it ethical conundrums necessitating critical scrutiny. The salience of reconnoitring these ethical issues is critical as they impinge upon core values such as fairness, privacy, and transparency within the workplace milieu.

This scholarly exploration aims to investigate two significant ethical challenges arising from the deployment of AI in HRM: the perpetuation of gender bias and the opacity of algorithmic processes. Gender-based bias in AI algorithms may reinforce existing disparities and discriminatory practices in the workplace, obscuring the vision for a truly diverse and inclusive workforce (Drage & Mackereth, 2022; Manasi et al., 2023). The opacity of algorithmic processes raises concerns about the explainability of AI-influenced decisions, which is essential for maintaining accountability and fostering trust in HR methodologies (Michelman, 2020; Chen, 2023).

Insightful discourses in academic literature articulate the ethical and jurisprudential trepidations triggered by AI within HRM, spotlighting the need to scrutinize practices that may culminate in discrimination and compromise accountability (Bujold et al., 2023; Dennis & Aizenberg, 2022). In addition, an analysis utilizing bibliometric methodologies underscores the imperative to grasp the extensive and profound ramifications of AI on HRM (Bujold et al., 2023). Furthermore, a discourse on responsible AI in human resources delineates how conventional HR tasks, susceptible to human partialities, could be either alleviated or amplified by AI applications (Delecraz et al., 2022). Notably, research published in ' AI and Erhics' intimates that AI-facilitated recruitment heralds a transformative epoch in HR, concomitantly surfacing challenges germane to ethics and discrimination (Hunkenschroer & Kriebitz, 2022).

Through critical evaluation of these scholarly works, this literature review aims to provide a comprehensive exposition of AI's role in HRM and the ethical considerations that require attention to responsibly maximize its capabilities.

## 2. BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

The harmonization of Artificial Intelligence (AI) with the processes of Human Resource Management (HRM) has culminated in a substantial transformation, unfolding progressively across multiple decades. In its nascent stages, AI's foray into HR was characterized by elementary undertakings, focusing primarily on the automation of straightforward tasks like payroll computation or the systematization of employee information (Jatobá et al., 2019).

As time advanced, the scope and sophistication of these AI applications expanded, employing cutting-edge algorithms and the astute analysis of machine learning to yield deep insights into the intricacies of talent acquisition, employee morale, and the predictive foresights of analytics (Marr, 2023).

At present, AI's purview within HR is marked by a diverse spectrum of applications that range from informed, data-driven resolutions to intricate systems designed for managing talent adroitly. Digital auxiliaries, propelled by AI, now adeptly manage the multitude of employee interactions annually, streamlining upwards of a hundred organizational processes (Goldstein, 2023). Moreover, AI tools have ventured into more delicate HR tasks such as the discernment of employee attitudes, the tailoring of educational programs, and prognostications of future staffing requirements (Gartner, 2023).

The imperative for ethical deliberation in AI's application to HRM is acutely pivotal. With AI systems playing an ever-more consequential role in pivotal HR decision-making processes, there is an escalating likelihood for the entrenchment of biases and the compromising of employee privacy (Jatobá et al., 2019). The conscientious integration of AI within HR dictates that there be an equilibrium between the exploitation of such technology for enhanced operational efficiency and the adherence to moral standards that underpin employee rights while fostering equitability (Marr, 2023). The prevailing narrative stresses the necessity for algorithmic transparency within AI to preserve both trust and answerability in HR protocols (Goldstein, 2023).

In summation, while the evolution of AI in HRM indicates a migration towards heightened efficiency and the possibility of more impartial decision-making apparatuses, it concurrently casts a spotlight on the ethical quandaries that necessitate thorough consideration. To ensure that HR's AI systems are devoid of prejudice and function with unambiguous transparency is not solely a moral obligation but also a strategic imperative for the sustenance of ethical HR operations (Gartner, 2023).

## 3. GENDER BIAS IN AI FOR HRM

### 3.1 Defining and Recognizing Gender Bias

In the context of HRM, gender bias perpetuated by AI systems encapsulates the preconceived notions and discriminatory actions that inadvertently maintain or propagate gender-based stereotypes. Such biases can emerge in several guises within HR AI applications, influencing key decisions about hiring, promotions, and remuneration. Factors such as preloaded biased datasets, homogeneity in AI development teams, and entrenched social prejudices all contribute to the amplification of this problem (Nadeem, Marjanovic & Abedin, 2021).

### 3.2 Case studies and real-world examples

Real-world empirical research has cast light on the phenomenon of gender bias within AI, resulting in hiring inequities. A case in point is an AI-driven recruitment tool used by Amazon, which was revealed to possess an inclination toward male candidates. This was a consequence of the AI being trained on a predominantly male resume dataset over a decade (Gupta, Parra &Dennehy, 2021)). Further analysis has put into perspective that AI-powered employee assessment tools have the potential to perpetuate gender bias by undervaluing interpersonal talents, which are commonly attributed to female workers (Ainsworth & Pekarek, 2022).

### 3.3 Impact on workplace diversity and equality

The impact of gender bias in artificial intelligence (AI) on workplace diversity and equality is profound and multifaceted. AI systems harbouring gender biases are detrimental to the career progression of women, magnify disparities in compensation, and curtail the breadth of perspectives and experiences within organizations. The repercussions of such biases extend beyond the individual, detrimentally affecting organizational performance and stifling innovation. The implementation of ethical AI necessitates meticulous examination of AI instruments to ensure that they foster environments that are both diverse and inclusive (Gupta, Parra & Dennehy, 2021).

## 4. ALGORITHM TRANSPARENCY

### 4.1 Explanation of Algorithm Transparency in AI Systems

Algorithm transparency in AI systems denotes the degree to which the decision-making processes of AI can be comprehended by humans. This entails the ability to delineate and rationalize the pathways and conclusions of AI algorithms. Transparency is pivotal for affirming the impartiality and precision of AI operations, particularly when applied in vital sectors such as human resource management (HRM) (Balasubramaniam et al., 2023).

### 4.2 The Interplay Between Transparency and Trust

The interdependence between algorithm transparency and trust is reciprocal. Transparency is frequently acknowledged as a foundational element for trust in AI. Stakeholders' understanding of the operations of AI systems and the rationale behind their decisions promotes trust and receptiveness to the systems' outputs. This bears significant weight in HRM, where AI-driven resolutions have substantial effects on individuals' careers (Lu et al., 2020).

### 4.3 Current practices and challenges in achieving transparency

Efforts to foster algorithm transparency currently encompass the institution of explainability protocols, the integration of transparent design conventions, and compliance with legal standards such as the EU's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which mandates a right to explanation. Nonetheless, the intrinsic intricacies of AI algorithms, proprietary technology, and the possible compromise between transparency and the safeguarding of trade secrets present ongoing challenges (Felzmann et al., 2020).

## 5. LEGAL AND ETHICAL FRAMEWORKS

### 5.1 Overview of Existing Legal Regulations

The legal framework governing the application of AI in human resource management (HRM) is evolving to become increasingly intricate and dynamic. Within the European Union, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) establishes stringent data privacy requirements affecting AI systems that process personal data. In the United States, benchmark equal employment opportunity legislations like Title VII of the Civil Rights Act scrutinize AI technology to prevent discriminatory outcomes (Maurer, 2017). Globally, national governments are in the process of formulating specialized legislation that addresses both specific and general consequences of AI deployment (Skadden's 2024 Insights, 2023).

### 5.2 Ethical Guidelines for AI in HRM

Concurrent to legal stipulations, a plethora of ethical guidelines have been suggested to direct AI usage in HRM. These guidelines highlight the importance of fairness, accountability, and transparency within AI systems. Notably, the EEOC's Artificial Intelligence and Algorithmic Fairness Initiative, initiated in 2021, offers directives for various stakeholders, including job seekers, employees, employers, and technology providers, to ensure equitable AI-driven processes (SHRM, 2023).

### 5.3 Bridging the Gap Between Practice and Ethical Norms

Notwithstanding existing frameworks, discrepancies persist between standard practices and ethical norms. The swift progression of AI technologies often surpasses the timely formulation of legal directives and ethical mandates. Moreover, the challenge of translating broad ethical maxims into detailed, enforceable standards for uniform HRM application is evident. This necessitates a continued multidisciplinary discourse among technologists, legal authorities, regulators, and HR practitioners to guarantee responsible AI usage in HRM contexts (Harbert, 2022).

## 6. CASE STUDIES

### 6.1 Recruitment Algorithm Bias

A significant event involved a prominent tech company, Amazon, discovering gender bias within its AI recruitment algorithm. The algorithm favored male candidates due to its training on a dataset primarily consisting of men's resumes from the past decade. Recognizing this issue, Amazon took swift action and discontinued the tool to prevent perpetuating gender-based discrimination (Chen, 2023).

**6.2 Performance Evaluation Tools**

Another case study examined AI-based performance evaluation tools, that inadvertently undervalued soft skills often associated with female employees. This resulted in lower performance scores for women, which affected promotions and raises, illustrating how algorithm design can perpetuate gender stereotypes (Drage & Mackereth, 2022).

**6.3 AI in Financial Services**

In the financial services sector, a discussion highlighted how the overwhelming amount of data created in recent years could perpetuate bias if not carefully managed. The industry's reliance on historical data, without proper context or adjustment for bias, risks embedding existing inequalities into AI systems (Madgavkar, 2021).

**6.4 Lessons learned from these cases**

The lessons learned from these cases underscore the necessity for diverse training data, continuous monitoring for bias, and the implementation of ethical guidelines throughout the AI development process. They also highlight the importance of interdisciplinary teams that include ethicists and domain experts alongside data scientists to ensure AI systems are fair and transparent. Moreover, these cases demonstrate the need for external audits and transparency to maintain public trust in AI systems.

# 7.  MITIGATING GENDER BIAS

**7.1 Strategies to Identify and Mitigate Gender Bias in AI Systems**

Addressing gender bias in AI necessitates multifaceted strategies:

Diversification of Training Data: It's critical to train AI systems with data that encompasses all genders to avoid perpetuating existing biases. Representativity in training data is key to preventing AI from learning skewed patterns (Feast, 2019).

Algorithmic Audits: Conducting regular audits of AI algorithms can help identify and mitigate any built-in biases. This involves testing AI decisions across different gender groups to ensure fairness (O'Connor & Liu, 2023).

Bias Detection and Correction Tools: Employing specialized tools designed to spot and adjust biases in both data and algorithms can be pivotal throughout the AI development lifecycle, ensuring biases are identified and amended swiftly (Feast, 2023).

Transparent Reporting: Providing clarity on the decision-making processes of algorithms is essential for spotting potential biases and developing remediation strategies (Feast, 2019).

**7.2 The Importance of Diversity and Inclusion Training for AI Developers**

Diversity and inclusion training for AI developers play a vital role in reducing gender bias:

Enhancing Awareness: Such training programs can heighten developers' consciousness of their implicit biases that might be unwittingly integrated into AI systems (Feast, 2019).

Promoting Inclusive Practices: It encourages the adoption of more inclusive practices in the design and development of AI systems, ensuring that these systems are fair and equitable for all users (Alicia de Manuel et al., 2023).

Interdisciplinary Teams: Encouraging collaborative efforts across disciplines with diverse participants can yield more balanced AI systems, as varied perspectives contribute to their design (Feast, 2023).

# 8.  ENHANCING ALGORITHM TRANSPARENCY

**8.1 Methods to Improve Transparency in AI Decision-Making Processes**

Improving transparency in AI decision-making involves several methods:

Embedding Transparency Practices: Transparency should be integrated at every stage of the AI lifecycle, from data collection and modelling to deployment and monitoring. This includes tracking changes and providing updates to stakeholders about how the AI system evolves over time (Trovato, 2023).

Transparent Data Tracing: The establishment of an accessible data lineage that documents the use of data within the AI's decision matrix allows for easier audits, which contributes to the comprehensibility of the choices made by AI (Balasubramaniam et al., 2023).

Adherence to Ethical Guidelines: Observance of prevailing ethical guidelines augments the inherent transparency of AI systems, advocating for lucid explication concerning the functioning of AI systems and their expected impacts (Balasubramaniam et al., 2023).

Public Communication: Enhancing public discourse regarding the application and purpose of AI in various contexts sets appropriate expectations and cultivates user trust (Blackman & Ammanath, 2022).

### 8.2 Tools and Techniques for Clarifying AI Decisions

There are numerous tools and techniques devised to elucidate AI decision-making:

Explainability Frameworks: These frameworks help in understanding how AI models reach their decisions, which can include visualization of the model's decision pathways and feature importance (Lawton, 2023).

Error and Bias Identification: Tools that classify and track the prevalence and types of errors and biases afford stakeholders a deeper understanding of an AI system's limitations and dependability (Lawton, 2023).

Ongoing Monitoring: Regular supervision tools can track and report changes in the AI's performance or its decision-making tendencies, reinforcing transparency (Trovato, 2023).

## 9.  POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

### 9.1 Suggestions for Policy Improvements at Organizational and Regulatory Levels

Inclusive Policy Formulation: Organizations should involve a diverse group of stakeholders in the formulation of policies governing AI in HRM. This ensures the consideration of a wide range of perspectives and reduces the risk of institutional biases (Chen, 2023).

Regulatory Compliance: Organizations should not only adhere to legal standards but integrate the principles underlying those standards into their operations to promote fairness and avoid discrimination (Hamou-Lhadj, 2021).

Ethics Committees: Establishing ethics committees can help organizations navigate the ethical implications of AI in HRM. These committees should include not just legal and HR experts, but also employees, ethicists, and possibly even customer representatives (Harbert, 2022).

Transparent AI Governance: There should be clear governance structures for AI use within organizations that include transparency about how AI systems make decisions and how data is used and protected (ISACA, 2022).

### 9.2 Frameworks for Ongoing Monitoring and Evaluation of AI Ethics in HRM

Ethical Audits: Regular ethical audits of AI systems can help organizations identify and address issues proactively. These audits should be conducted by independent bodies to ensure objectivity (Jakob Mökander, 2023).

Continuous Learning: Updating policies and training to evolve with AI advancements supports dynamic ethical compliance (Roche, Wall and Lewis, 2022).

Stakeholder Feedback: Implementing mechanisms for feedback from all stakeholders affected by AI decisions can provide insights into the real-world impacts of AI in HRM and help identify areas for improvement (Miller, 2022).

Public Reporting: Organizations should consider public reporting on their AI practices, which can include how they are addressing bias, ensuring privacy, and impacting the workforce. This transparency can build trust and accountability (Neeley, 2023).

## 10. CONCLUSION

This review has delineated the manifold implications of artificial intelligence (AI) in the domain of human resource management (HRM), underscoring the transformational capabilities of AI technologies as well as the ethical quandaries they incur. The exploration encompassed the influence of AI on HRM strategies, the pervasiveness and ramifications of gender bias within AI apparatuses, and the pivotal role of transparency within AI decision-making frameworks.

The imperative to marry technological innovation with ethical integrity stands paramount. AI's capacity to augment HR functionalities and proffer unprecedented insights into workforce management must be pursued without transgressing the tenets of equity, privacy, or impartiality. This discourse has revealed that moral transgressions, particularly those manifesting as gender prejudice, not only erode the trustworthiness of AI but yield consequential effects on both personal and organizational levels.

Projections for future inquiry mandate concentration on the ensuing facets:

The formulation of comprehensive ethical frameworks is essential. Anticipated to influence the development and integration of AI within HRM, these guidelines must remain agile in the face of swift technological evolution and attuned to the distinct intricacies inherent in various HRM scenarios.

There is an exigency for empirical investigation to quantify AI's enduring influence on employment trajectories, workplace heterogeneity, and staff contentment. Such empirical endeavors hold the potential to render pivotal data, driving policy-making and operative strategies.

The encouragement of synergistic, interdisciplinary scholarship among technologists, ethicists, legal pundits, and HR specialists is advocated. This approach is vital for ensuring that AI systems are not merely technically proficient, but also align with societal obligations.

Finally, the establishment of global standards and regulatory protocols for AI application in HRM is of the essence. Such normativity aspires to standardize practices transnationally, maintaining equitable competition across diverse sectors and geopolitical boundaries.

## REFERENCES

[1] Ainsworth, S. and Pekarek, A. (2022). Gender in Human Resources: Hiding in plain sight. Human Resource Management Journal, 32(4). doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12437

[2] Alicia de Manuel, Janet Delgado Rodríguez, Iris Parra Jounou, Txetxu Ausín, Casacuberta, D., Cruz, M., Guersenzvaig, A., Moyano, C., Rodríguez-Arias, D., Rueda, J. and Puyol, A. (2023). Ethical assessments and mitigation strategies for biases in AI-systems used during the COVID-19 pandemic. Big Data & Society, 10(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517231179199.

[3] Balasubramaniam, N., Kauppinen, M., Rannisto, A., Hiekkanen, K. and Kujala, S. (2023). Transparency and Explainability of AI Systems: From Ethical Guidelines to Requirements. Information and Software Technology, 159, p.107197. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2023.107197.

[4] Blackman, R. and Ammanath, B. (2022). Building Transparency into AI Projects. [online] Harvard Business Review. Available at: https://hbr.org/2022/06/building-transparency-into-ai-projects .

[5] Bujold, A., Roberge-Maltais, I., Parent-Rocheleau, X., Boasen, J., Sylvain Sénécal and Pierre-Majorique Léger (2023). Responsible artificial intelligence in human resources management: a review of the empirical literature. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-023-00325-1.

[6] Chen, Z. (2023). Ethics and discrimination in artificial intelligence-enabled recruitment practices. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 10(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02079-x.

[7]   Delecraz, S., Eltarr, L., Becuwe, M., Bouxin, H., Boutin, N. and Oullier, O. (2022). Responsible Artificial Intelligence in Human Resources Technology: An innovative inclusive and fair by design matching algorithm for job recruitment purposes. Journal of Responsible Technology, 11, p.100041. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrt.2022.100041.

[8]   Dennis, M.J. and Aizenberg, E. (2022). The Ethics of AI in Human Resources. Ethics and Information Technology, [online] 24(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-022-09653-y.

[9]   Drage, E. and Mackereth, K. (2022). Does AI Debias Recruitment? Race, Gender, and AI's 'Eradication of Difference'. Philosophy & Technology, [online] 35(4). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-022-00543-1.

[10]  Feast, J. (2019). 4 Ways to Address Gender Bias in AI. [online] Harvard Business Review. Available at: https://hbr.org/2019/11/4-ways-to-address-gender-bias-in-ai  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[11]  Feast, J. (2023). How to Identify and Mitigate Gender Bias in AI. [online] Cogito. Available at: https://cogitocorp.com/blog/how-to-identify-and-mitigate-gender-bias-in-ai/  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[12]  Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C. and Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards Transparency by Design for Artificial Intelligence. Science and Engineering Ethics, 26(6), pp.3333–3361. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-020-00276-4.

[13]  Gartner (2023). AI in HR: How AI Is Transforming the Future of HR. [online] Gartner. Available at: https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/topics/artificial-intelligence-in-hr [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[14]  Goldstein, J. (2023). HR and talent in the era of AI. [online] IBM Blog. Available at: https://www.ibm.com/blog/hr-and-talent-in-the-era-of-ai/  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[15]  Gupta, M., Parra, C.M. and Dennehy, D. (2021). Questioning Racial and Gender Bias in AI-based Recommendations: Do Espoused National Cultural Values Matter? Information Systems Frontiers, 24(5). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-021-10156-2.

[16]  Hamou-Lhadj, A. (2021). Five best practices to improve compliance with AI regulations and standards. [online]                IBM                Blog.                Available                at: https://www.ibm.com/blog/five-best-practices-to-improve-compliance-with-ai-regulations-and-standards/ [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[17]  Harbert, T. (2022). Regulations Ahead on AI. [online] www.shrm.org. Available at: https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/all-things-work/regulations-ahead-ai [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[18]  Hunkenschroer, A.L. and Kriebitz, A. (2022). Is AI recruiting (un)ethical? A human rights perspective on the use of AI for hiring. AI and Ethics, 3(1). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00166-4.

[19]  ISACA (2022). Developing an Artificial Intelligence Governance Framework. [online] ISACA. Available at: https://www.isaca.org/resources/news-and-trends/newsletters/atisaca/2022/volume-38/developing-an-artificial-intelligence-governance-framework  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[20]  Jakob Mökander (2023). Auditing of AI: Legal, Ethical and Technical Approaches. Digital Society, 2(3). doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-023-00074-y.

[21]  Jatobá, M., Santos, J., Gutierriz, I., Moscon, D., Fernandes, P.O. and Teixeira, J.P. (2019). Evolution of Artificial Intelligence Research in Human Resources. Procedia Computer Science, [online] 164, pp.137–142. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.12.165.

[22]  Lawton, G. (2023). AI transparency: What is it and why do we need it? [online] SearchCIO. Available at: https://www.techtarget.com/searchcio/tip/AI-transparency-What-is-it-and-why-do-we-need-it [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[23]  Lu, J., Lee, D., Tae Jeong Kim and Danks, D. (2020). Good Explanation for Algorithmic Transparency. National Conference on Artificial Intelligence. doi:https://doi.org/10.1145/3375627.3375821.

[24]  Madgavkar, A. (2021). A conversation on artificial intelligence and gender bias | McKinsey. [online] www.mckinsey.com.                          Available                          at: https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/asia-pacific/a-conversation-on-artificial-intelligence-and-gender-bias [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[25]  Manasi, A., Panchanadeswaran, S. and Sours, E. (2023). Addressing Gender Bias to Achieve Ethical AI. [online]          IPI          Global          Observatory.          Available          at: https://theglobalobservatory.org/2023/03/gender-bias-ethical-artificial-intelligence/ .

[26]  Marr, B. (2023). The Evolution Of Data-Driven And AI-Enabled HR. [online] Forbes. Available at: https://www.forbes.com/sites/bernardmarr/2023/12/08/the-evolution-of-data-driven-and-ai-enabled-hr/?sh=5c501fa01549  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[27]  Maurer, R. (2017). Use of AI in the Workplace Raises Legal Concerns. [online] www.shrm.org. Available at: https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/technology/use-ai-workplace-raises-legal-concerns  [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[28] Michelman, P. (2020). AI's Role in Achieving Gender Equity - MIT Sloan Management Review. [online] sloanreview.mit.edu. Available at: https://sloanreview.mit.edu/audio/ais-role-in-achieving-gender-equity/ [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[29] Miller, G.J. (2022). Stakeholder roles in artificial intelligence projects. Project Leadership and Society, [online] 3(100068), p.100068. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plas.2022.100068.

[30] Nadeem, A., Marjanovic, O. and Abedin, B. (2021). Gender Bias in AI: Implications for Managerial Practices. Responsible AI and Analytics for an Ethical and Inclusive Digitized Society, pp.259–270. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-85447-8_23.

[31] Neeley, T. (2023). 8 Questions About Using AI Responsibly, Answered. [online] Harvard Business Review. Available at: https://hbr.org/2023/05/8-questions-about-using-ai-responsibly-answered [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[32] O'Connor, S. and Liu, H. (2023). Gender bias perpetuation and mitigation in AI technologies: challenges and opportunities. AI & SOCIETY. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01675-4.

[33] Roche, C., Wall, P.J. and Lewis, D. (2022). Ethics and diversity in artificial intelligence policies, strategies and initiatives. AI and Ethics, 3, pp.1095–1115. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-022-00218-9.

[34] SHRM (2023). Exploring AI in the Workplace: Regulations, Lawsuits and Best Practices. [online] www.shrm.org. Available at: https://www.shrm.org/topics-tools/news/inclusion-equity-diversity/exploring-ai-in-the-workplace [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[35] Skadden's 2024 Insights (2023). AI in 2024: Monitoring New Regulation and Staying in Compliance With Existing Laws | Insights | Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. [online] www.skadden.com. Available at: https://www.skadden.com/insights/publications/2023/12/2024-insights/other-regulatory-developments/ai-in-2024.

[36] Trovato, S. (2023). The Complete Guide to AI Transparency [6 Best Practices]. [online] blog.hubspot.com. Available at: https://blog.hubspot.com/marketing/ai-transparency [Accessed 21 Jan. 2024].

[37] Using artificial intelligence in human resource management. (2023). Human Resource Management International Digest, 32(1), pp.42–44. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/hrmid-11-2023-0223.